1	Michael Tenenbaum, Esq. (No. 186850)		
2	THE OTTICE OF WICHTED TEXTS TOWN, ESQ.		
3	1431 Ocean Ave., Ste. 400 Santa Monica, CA 90401		
4	Tel (424) 246-8685 Fax (424) 203-4285		
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	INTERNATIONAL FUR TRADE FEDERATION, an unincorporated association;	Case No. 3:20-cv-00242-RS	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE IFF'S	
13	– against –	COMBINED	
14	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO;	OPPOSITION TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS	
15	and		
16 17	DR. GRANT COLFAX, an individual, in his official capacity as Director of the San Francisco Department of Public Health;		
18	Defendants,		
19	and		
20	THE HUMANE SOCIETY OF THE UNITED STATES; and		
21	ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND;		
22	Intervenor-Defendants		
23			
24			
25			
26			
2728			
۷٥	.I		

Such filing on May 27, 2020, is five days earlier than the previously stipulated due date as to Intervenors' motion but, on account of the additional time IFF required to combine its opposition, two days later than the stipulated due date as to Defendants' motion — as to which neither Defendants nor Intervenors objects.

Plaintiff International Fur Trade Federation ("IFF"), Defendants City and County of San Francisco and Grant Colfax ("Defendants"), and Intervenor-Defendants The Humane Society of the United States and Animal Legal Defense Fund ("Intervenors") (collectively, the "Parties"), hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on April 24, 2020, the Court entered an order (Dkt. 29) approving the Parties' stipulation regarding, *inter alia*, the briefing schedule on both Defendants' and Intervenors' motions to dismiss (Dkts. 30 & 33);

WHEREAS such briefing schedule contemplated that IFF would file an opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss by May 25, 2020, and a *separate* opposition to Intervenors' motion to dismiss by June 1, 2020 (Dkt. 29);

WHEREAS, in the interest of avoiding the duplication of effort for the Court (and for itself) that filing two separate opposition briefs would entail, IFF has elected to file a single brief containing its combined opposition to both Defendants' and Intervenors' motions, which it filed on May 27, 2020 (Dkt. 39) (Tenenbaum Decl. ¶ 2);¹

WHEREAS, while IFF was able to combine its opposition to both motions (which motions were 24 and 15 pages, respectively), and would have been able to take up to 25 pages to oppose each in separate briefs under Civil L.R. 7-3(a), IFF's combined opposition is 29 pages, which exceeds the ordinary page limit for a single brief (id. ¶ 3); and

WHEREAS the previously stipulated due date for Defendants' and Intervenors' reply briefs is June 18, 2020, which is over a month before the July 23, 2020, hearing on the Parties' motions, and the Parties agree to Defendants' and Intervenors' request for an additional day for their replies in light of the foregoing (id. ¶ 4);

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties further stipulate and respectfully request that the Court for good cause enter an order approving their stipulation as follows:

1. IFF's combined opposition (Dkt. 39) to Defendants' and Intervenors' motions to

_ 1 _

Case 3:20-cv-00242-RS Document 42 Filed 05/28/20 Page 3 of 3

1	dismiss is accepted for filing without objection to its timeliness or length.		
2	2. Defendants and Intervenors shall have until June 19, 2020, to file their replies.		
3	Dated: May 28, 2020	/s/ Michael Tenenbaum	
4	Succu. 1114 20, 2020	Michael Tenenbaum, Esq.	
5		mt@post.harvard.edu THE OFFICE OF MICHAEL TENENBAUM, ESQ.	
6		Counsel for Plaintiff International Fur Trade Federation	
7			
8	Dated: May 28, 2020	/s/Aileen M. McGrath*	
9		Aileen McGrath, Esq.	
10		aileen.mcgrath@sfcityatty.org DENNIS J. HERRERA, CITY ATTORNEY	
11		Attorneys for Defendants City and County of San	
12		Francisco; a nd Dr. Grant Colfax, an individual, in his official capacity as Director of the San Francisco	
13		Department of Public Health	
14 15			
	Dated: May 28, 2020	/s/ Bruce A. Wagman*	
1617		Bruce A. Wagman, Esq. *BWagman@rshc-law.com* RILEY SAFER HOLMES & CANCILA LLP	
18		Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants The Humane Society of the United States and Animal Legal Defense Fund	
19		of the Ottilea states and Thimai Legal Defense I that	
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21			
22		Thirt Section 1	
23	Dated: May <u>28, 2020</u>	Jan Deling	
24		Hon. Richard Seeborg	
25		United States District Judge	
26	* Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the filer of this document attests that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other electronic signatories hereto.		
27			
28			